This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	09/437,169	RUSTAD, MARK D.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Justin I. King	2111
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature of the co	ears on the cover sheet w (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate commission is	n this application. If not included unlication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to 5/24/04.		
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-5,8, 11-20, 23-30,34-38,41-4	6,49,52,55-64,and 67-77.	•
3. The drawings filed on it/wi9 are accepted by the Examine	r.	
 4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority do International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 	e been received. e been received in Applicati	on No
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	of this communication to fil IENT of this application.	e a reply complying with the requirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	nitted. Note the attached EX es reason(s) why the oath o	AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF or declaration is deficient.
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner' Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the state of the sheet.	son's Patent Drawing Revie s Amendment / Comment o	or in the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the back) of
DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	sit of BIOLOGICAL MAT	ERIAL must be submitted. Note the
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ⊠ Interview S Paper No	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) Summary (PTO-413), ./Mail Date <u>20040826</u> . s Amendment/Comment
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 		
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. ⊠ Examiner's 9. □ Other	XUAN M. THAI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit: 2111

DETAILED ACTION

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

- 1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.
- 2. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Thomas Brennan on 8/26/04.
- 3. The application has been amended as follows:

Claim 30: A data structure in a machine-readable medium for allowing a resource to be shared among a plurality of processors, at least one processor of the plurality of processors including a fast memory, the data structure is a class, comprising:

a state for indicating that the resource is under control;

a first identifier for identifying a past processor that had exclusive control of the resource; and

a second identifier for identifying a present processor that has exclusive control of the resource;

means for comparing the first identifier to the second identifier; and

means for resetting at least a portion of the fast memory of the present processor

when the first identifier does not match the second identifier.

Claim 31: Cancelled.

Justin King

August 26, 2004

Art Unit: 2111

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-5, 8, 11-20, 23-31, 34-38, 41-46, 49, 52, 55-64, and 67-77 are allowed.
- 5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Referring to claim 1: The prior arts on record do not disclose or explicitly teach the means for identifying previous owner of the resource, and the means for selective resetting the at least a portion of the memory.

Referring to claims 2-5: n Claims are allowable because they incorporate the parent claim's allowable subject matters.

Referring to claim 8: The prior arts on record do not disclose or explicitly teach the means for identifying the consecutive ownership of the resource, and the means for selective resetting the at least a portion of the memory.

Referring to claims 11-19: Claims are allowable because they incorporate the parent claim's allowable subject matters.

Referring to claim 20: The prior arts on record do not disclose or explicitly teach the means for identifying the consecutive exclusive ownership of the resource, and the means for selective resetting the at least a portion of the memory.

Referring to claims 23-29: Claims are allowable because they incorporate the parent claim's allowable subject matters.

Referring to claim 30: The prior arts on record do not disclose or explicitly teach the data structure for identifying the exclusive ownership of the resource, and the means for selective resetting the at least a portion of the memory.

Referring to claims 34-36: Claims are allowable because they incorporate the parent claim's allowable subject matters.

Art Unit: 2111

Referring to claim 37: The prior arts on record do not disclose or explicitly teach the means for identifying the consecutive exclusive ownership of the resource, and the means for selective resetting the at least a portion of the memory.

Referring to claims 38 and 41: Claims are allowable because they incorporate the parent claim's allowable subject matters.

Referring to claims 42-43: The prior arts on record do not disclose or explicitly teach the means for identifying the consecutive exclusive ownership of the resource, and the means for selective resetting the at least a portion of the memory.

Referring to claims 44-46: Claims are allowable because they incorporate the parent claim's allowable subject matters.

Referring to claim 49: The prior arts on record do not disclose or explicitly teach the means for identifying the consecutive ownership of the resource, and the means for selective resetting the at least a portion of the memory.

Referring to claim 52: The prior arts on record do not disclose or explicitly teach the means for identifying the consecutive ownership of the resource, and the means for selective resetting the at least a portion of the memory.

Referring to claims 55-63: Claims are allowable because they incorporate the parent claim's allowable subject matters.

Referring to claim 64: The prior arts on record do not disclose or explicitly teach the means for identifying the consecutive ownership of the resource, and the means for selective resetting the at least a portion of the memory.

Referring to claims 67-73: Claims are allowable because they incorporate the parent claim's allowable subject matters.

Art Unit: 2111

Referring to claim 74: The prior arts on record do not disclose or explicitly teach the means for identifying the consecutive ownership of the resource, and the means for selective resetting the at least a portion of the memory.

Referring to claims 75-77: Claims are allowable because they incorporate the parent claim's allowable subject matters.

6. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 2111

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin I. King whose telephone number is 703-305-4571. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on 703-308-3110. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Justin King

August 27, 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER

TCZION